Basis for a practical technique for monitoring thrips in avocado orchards
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ABSTRACT
Greenhouse thrips Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis (Bouché) and red-banded thrips Selenothrips rubrocinctus (Giard) (Thripidae) are sporadic but potentially serious pests of avocado fruits in South Africa. A simple monitoring technique for these little understood pests is described. At low population levels, both thrips showed a distinct preference (93%) to feed between touching fruit, which resulted in damage to 22-33% of the touching fruit in the orchard studied, whereas only 1-3% of the single fruit were damaged. The percentages of touching and single fruit in the orchard were 17,5% and 82,5%, respectively, and it could thus be calculated that 6% of the fruit in the orchard would be unsuitable for export. It is suggested that the fruit be used as 'traps', as this obviates the use of the conventional sticky yellow traps and gives an immediate and more direct assessment of crop loss. It took only 6,5 h to sample 20% of the 180 trees in the study orchard. Using this technique, the individual farmer can decide when chemical control measures are warranted

INTRODUCTION
Avocado orchards in South Africa comprise ≈8000 ha, which generate R100 x 10^6 and R30 x 10^6, on the export and local markets respectively (1 rand =£0.2). Until recently, avocados in South Africa have had few serious insect pests because (a) the industry is relatively young--avocados were established as a crop between 1920 and 1930 (Durand, 1990) and (b) the avocado industry is small relative to other South African crops, including fruit crops (Garbers, 1987). However, the South African export avocado industry has grown by >25% per annum over the last decade (Kotzé, 1990) and nine insect taxa currently cause lesions on the fruit (Dennill & Erasmus, 1991). This number illustrates a threefold increase in these pests since 1982 when Annecke and Moran (1982) recorded only three insect taxa causing lesions on avocado fruit.

A recent packhouse survey of 16 265 unsorted fruit from 32 orchards in the Nelspruit-Hazyview area of the eastern Transvaal lowveld indicated that insects accounted for damage to -10% of the fruit, implying a financial loss of R10-13 x 10^6 (Dennill & Erasmus, 1991). The four most important pests were coconut bug [Pseudotheraptus wayi (Brown)], the two thrips [Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis (Bouché) and Selenothrips

* This article has been reprinted with permission from the journal Crop Protection 11(1), 89-91.
rubrocinctus (Giard)], fruitfly [Pterandrus rosa (Karsch)] and stink bugs [including Nezara viridula (L)] which caused culling of 4.7; 2.1; 1.9 and 1.8% of the fruits, respectively. Although the two thrips species together were the second most important in the above-mentioned survey, they caused losses of up to 80% in some orchards in the Hazyview area during 1989.

By feeding on pericarp, these thrips extract chlorophyll and cause a bronzing of the surface of the fruit, while the skin of severely damaged fruit may crack (Annecke & Moran, 1982; de Villiers and van den Berg, 1987; de Villiers, 1990). Black dots, caused by deposition of their excreta, are also visible on the discoloured parts of the pericarp (de Villiers and van den Berg, 1987; de Villiers, 1990).† The nature of the damage that these thrips cause to avocado fruits is unlike that caused by thrips attacking other fruit, eg citrus. In the latter case, the citrus thrips Scirtothrips aurantii Faure feeds at the base of the developing, pea-sized fruit under the calyx, and the damaged area eventually becomes a characteristic ring of scarred tissue on the mature fruit (Annecke & Moran, 1982). In the case of avocados, the thrips do not feed on the fruit base of developing fruit under the calyx, but feed mainly on the sides of more mature fruit and no rings of damaged tissue occur. This is unusual, as both species attacking avocados are members of the family Thripidae, whose members are specially adapted for living in protected, hidden situations, eg flowers, or, characteristically, under the calyces of developing fruit (Hartwig, 1985).

Although most avocado fruit hang singly, many fruits do touch each other. The aim of the present study was to determine whether thigmotaxis in H haemorrhoidalis and S rubrocinctus caused them to prefer touching fruit and whether this could be used as a basis for a technique to monitor these sporadic yet potentially dangerous pests. Some farmers and consultants had mentioned that the thrips were most likely to be found between touching fruit. As yet, there is no monitoring technique for thrips on avocados.

**METHODS**

During the winter of 1990 (May-July), fruits were examined in various orchards in the Hazyview area (25°S, 31 °E) to locate thrips. The Hazyview area was selected because it was in this area that outbreaks had occurred during the winter of 1989. The thrips were difficult to find because they are sporadic pests, but were eventually located on East Farm. This farm is close to the Sabie forestry area, and it is thought that the occurrence of thrips in this region may be the result of its proximity to forests. Pine trees are a well-known alternative host to H haemorrhoidalis (Annecke & Moran, 1982).

In the orchard selected for the study, rows of cv Hass were separated by three rows of cv Fuerte. Because most of the earlier ripening Fuerte fruits had been picked by the time that this study was initiated, and because most farmers, extension officers and consultants had complained that cv Hass was usually most seriously affected by both thrips, these insects were monitored only on the Hass trees. Using stratified random sampling, three independent samples of 36 trees each were selected. The 36 trees covered the entire Hass orchard, and represented 20% of the total number of Hass

---

† Such fruits are unattractive and unsuitable for export.
On each tree, one single fruit (a fruit not touching another fruit or a leaf) and one pair of touching fruit on the eastern, northern, western and southern sides of the tree, were examined for damage by, and/or presence of, thrips. There was thus a total of four single fruit and four pairs of touching fruit per tree. The fruit were randomly selected at a height between 0.5 m and 2.0 m. The nymphs and adults of both species were counted separately, and the degree of damage to the fruit was scored from 1 to 10 (1, 1-10% fruit surface damaged; 2, 11-20% fruit surface damaged, etc). Once it had been found that the thrips had a distinct preference for touching fruit, the proportion of touching to single fruit was determined by counting the number of single and touching fruit on two major branches, one on the northern side and one on the southern side of each of another independent sample of 36 trees in the same orchard. By this means it could be determined how long it would take to estimate the percentage of fruits damaged by thrips in the orchard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (1990)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Percentage fruit infested/damaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single (n = 144)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 June</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 June</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 July</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2** Occurrence of avocado thrips, *H. haemorrhoidalis* and *S. rubroinclus*, on single and touching fruit, and the number of thrips, per pair of touching fruit

| Date (1990) | Sample | Percentage thrips | n* | No thrips per ‘trap’
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single fruit</td>
<td>Touching fruit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 June</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>18 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 June</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>97.06</td>
<td>34 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 July</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>48 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>92.75</td>
<td>33 0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The number of fruit (single or pairs) on which thrips occurred.
(b) The number of thrips of both species per pair of touching fruit (‘traps’, n = 144) sampled in the orchard.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the three independent samples, the percentage of single fruits damaged or infested by thrips was consistently low (0.7-2.8%) whereas the percentage of touching fruit infested or damaged was consistently high (22.9-33.3%) (Table 1). The thrips showed a distinct preference (93%) for feeding between touching fruit (Table 2), even though the number of thrips sampled was relatively low (to be expected as this is a sporadic pest) (Table 3). The number of thrips per touching pair of fruit was consistent in all three samples, ranging between 0.6 and 0.9 (Table 2) and the sampling technique revealed that S. rubrocinctus was consistently most abundant, comprising 72.4-76.2% of the two-species thrips community (Table 3). Of the fruits in the orchard, 17.5% were touching fruits, and the time taken to count the total (4791) fruits used was 3.5 h.

The results indicate that the thigmotactic behaviour of H. haemorrhoidalis and S. rubrocinctus, which results in these species feeding preferentially between touching avocado fruits, can be used as a basis for monitoring these pests in avocado orchards. This technique is quick, as it took only 3 h to examine the trees for the thrips, while it took a further 3.5 h to determine the proportions of single and touching fruits in a sample that represented 20% of the trees in the orchard. It is suggested that the fruits themselves be used as 'traps', as this would obviate the necessity for the conventional sticky yellow traps that are used, for example, to monitor thrips in citrus (Samways, 1986; Samways, Täte & Murdoch, 1986). Monitoring the thrips and the damage they cause on the fruit would also give an immediate and more direct indication of losses that could be incurred. The median score for damage inflicted by thrips to the avocado fruit was 1 on both sampling dates (n = 39 and 49, respectively), and 3 was the maximum score recorded. Although the degree of damage to the fruit was low, the proportion of single and touching fruits damaged could be used together with the proportion of single versus touching fruits in the orchard examined, to determine that 5.82% of the fruit would not be suitable for export. (In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the proportional damage caused by the two thrips species was assumed to be similar.) This figure could be expected to increase if the avocados hung on the trees for longer, because the fruit ripened earlier than usual during 1990 and terminated this study prematurely.

Using this technique, the individual farmer can decide when it is worth controlling the thrips chemically. This technique can also be used to monitor the seasonal population fluctuations and the relative abundance of both species attacking avocados.
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