## South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook 1997, 20:86-87

# Does Avocado Research Pay?

### J. Toerien

Avodata, P.O. Box 757, Tzaneen 0850

### **ABSTRACT**

Many avocado producers often wonder whether it is a good investment to do research. During the past 11 years producers themselves have contributed R4,5 million to the total research cost of R26 million. The balance of R21.5 million was financed by the research institutions. The benefit to the South African Avocado Industry is estimated at R36 million per year. This means that the producers receive a benefit equivalent to R4,80 per carton for an investment of only 8c per carton. The contribution to our industry, by dedicated research workers is this not fully recognized. There are many challenges for the future and a realistic share of the cost will have to be earned by the industry.

#### INTRODUCTION

SAAGA research started in 1973 during a phase in which the industry had enormous technological problems.

Phytophthora was rampant and without any control measures. Black spot and post-harvest diseases created large amounts of damage. Post-harvest problems were common and the causes of symptoms were not identified. Nurseries sold up to 100% Phytophthora infected trees and no trees on clonal rootstocks were available. Packaging and transport systems were poor and unreliable.

In November 1973 the industry identified its needs and a small group namely Jan Toerien, Ronnie Lunt, Clive Mitchell and Willie Pretorius formed the first research committee. Prof Kotzé joined in 1977 and played an important role as co-ordinator.

It was decided that SAAGA would co-ordinate and finance projects. The basis there-of was that a financial contribution to running costs would be made without a contribution to any capital costs.

Over the years, role players such as Westfalia/MTS, University of Pretoria, University of Natal, RAU, H L Hall & Sons, the ITSC, University of Stellenbosch and the PPECB were major contributors. The contribution of Dr Joe Darvas and other researchers has been enormous and the industry could justifiably erect a monument in their honour.

#### COST OF RESEARCH

The question that should be asked is what was the cost there-of? Under the guidance of

Prof Kotzé as co-ordinator an enormous amount of work was done for very little money. The institutions who did research, financed expenses mainly from their own resources and thus the research projects of the avocado industry were largely subsidised. This was indeed the golden era in SAAGA history as predicted by Prof Kotzé in the first yearbook of 1977.

Times changed and in a new South Africa real economy has started to play a role.

- The Merensky Foundation looks at a more realistic allocation of costs for research.
- The funding of the Universities by the Government has been reduced and the Universities have had to cut costs drastically.
- The old research institutes were financially solely supported by the Government and industry made no contributions. The new ARC relies progressively more on industry funding. Small farmer projects will become a bigger priority in the future.
  - Research instances in other avocado producing countries have also been scaled down.

#### What was the cost of research to SAAGA?

	Table I		
Year		Amount	
1992		522 000	
1993		497 000	
1994		654 000	
1995		728 000	
1996		598 000	
1997		600 000	

Over a period of 11 years the SAAGA research costs were R4 450 000 at an estimated total real cost of R26 000 000.

# Currently what are the real costs?

	Table 2	
Institution	Cost of Research 1997	SAAGA 1997 Contribution
Westfalia/MTS	1 200 000	165 000
ARC.ITSC	1 390 000	185 000
Univ of Natal	420 000	75 000
Univ of Pretoria	530 000	95 000
Other	460 000	80 000
Total	4 000 000	600 000

A further real contribution by universities to research is the many students who have been, and who are involved in the avocado industry. They are all products of an investment by the partnership between the universities and SAAGA.

Table 3
The annual total potential value of previous research results

1.	and selection co	ees, clonal rootstocks, breeding ontribute to nearly 1 ton/ha on ry conservative R1 000/ton	R5 000 000			
2.	Disease control The minimum a Direct advantag Cost effective sp	R2 500 000 R2 500 000				
3.	3. Phytophthora control					
	Production incr Cost advantage	R5 000 000 R5 000 000				
4.	Packaging syste Total Transport Old systems New systems Cost saving	cost R18,145/Container 2 000 cartons/container = R9,07/carton 2 520 cartons/container = R7,20/carton = R1,87/carton				
	Total cost advar	R14 000 000				

#### 5. Temperature Management

The 'step down' temperature management resulted in harder fruit with less cold damage. Conservative R2M and more realistic perhaps R5M

.

R2 000 000

Total annual advantage from Research R36 000 000

# CONCLUSION

Many economic problems have effectively been solved by dedicated research workers over the years. The major costs were carried by the different institutions. This means that the research was heavily subsidized in the past. The annual investment of 8c for a benefit of R4,80/carton proves that research was an excellent investment for the South African Avocado producer in the past. For the future there are many challenges which include for example: selecting dwarfing rootstocks, a larger Hass type cultivar, reliable storage systems, cost effective insect control, constant higher production, non-destructive firmness testing and sorting and increasing the local and overseas demand for avocados in any form, shape or size through promotions, advertising and innovation.

The funding for the future will have to be more realistically shared by the total industry. I am sure that the future challenges will be treated as opportunities by the South African Avocado Industry.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Dr DL Milne, MTS
Dr Lisa Korsten, UP
Prof JM Kotzé, UP
Dr H Robbertse, UP
Prof Nigel Wolstenholme, UNP
Dr John Robinson, ITSC
Jenny Joubert, SAAGA