

# Soil health, fruit yield, quality and nutritional value of avocado as influenced by different mulch types

Year 2 of 3

B Nzanza<sup>1</sup> and P Pieterse

Natuurboerdery Research Centre, ZZ2-Bertie van Zyl  
Mooketsi 0825, South Africa

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: bombiti@zz2.biz  
Tel: +27 153952135 Fax: +27 153952135

## ABSTRACT

A 3-year trial was laid out in 2010 at ZZ2-Bertie van Zyl Farms to determine the effect of mulch application on soil health, fruit yield, quality and nutritional value of avocado. The four mulch treatments *viz.* grass, eucalyptus chips, composted chips and an untreated control, were arranged in a completely randomised design, with three replications consisting of 15 trees per treatment. Standard commercial avocado cultural practices were carried out. Twelve months after treatment application, mulch had no effect on yield or fruit size of avocado. Mulching with composted chips increased soil pH, P and Mg contents when compared to untreated controls in Politsi, but increased soil K content and active carbon in both sites. Conversely, grass and wood chips reduced the active carbon of the soil in both locations. The number and trophic groups of nematodes were not affected by mulching but there was a trend of decreasing plant parasitic nematodes with mulch application. Similarly there was a trend of increasing Zn, K and P fruit contents with mulching. Findings of this study suggested that mulch types have different effects on soil health indicators with possible resultant effects on fruit chemical contents.

## INTRODUCTION

Avocado (*Persea americana*) is one of the top commodities in South Africa with more than 12500 ha of planted trees in 2011. Production is mainly export driven and to remain competitive on the world stage, the South African Avocado Growers' Association promotes among others the optimisation of best production practices, such as mulching. The benefits of mulch application in avocado production are well-documented. Mulching reduces the incidence of *Phytophthora cinnamoni* root rot, the most destructive disease of avocado worldwide (Broadbent & Baker, 1974; Downer *et al.*, 2001). Other benefits of mulching include: increased microbial diversity and soil organic matter (Tuney & Menge, 1994), increased nutrient availability and CEC (Stephenson & Schuster, 1945; Wolstenholme *et al.*, 1996), improved soil permeability and soil water holding capacity, decreased water run-off and soil compaction (Tuney & Menge, 1994; Wolstenholme *et al.*, 1996), and improved root growth and tree health (Moore-Gordon *et al.*, 1996). In short, mulch application improves the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of avocado soils.

To assess the effect of mulching on soil character-

istics, the Cornell soil health concept, which involves integrating and optimising the biological, chemical and physical properties of the soil for farm profitability, was used (Gugino *et al.*, 2009). Thirty-two potential indicators were considered of which 12 were selected for soil health analysis (Nzanza & Pieterse, 2011). The nematode community profiling was included as an additional soil health test due to the fact that nematode populations are very sensitive to environmental disturbances, and thus are suitable bio-indicators for soil management changes (Neher, 2001; Zheng *et al.*, 2011).

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of different mulch types on soil health and nematode community structure, with the resultant effects on yield and fruit size of avocado.

In the first report, we established a baseline assessment of soil health of the two trial locations prior to the trial onset (Nzanza & Pieterse, 2011). The present paper summarises major findings one year after the onset of the trial.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trial was laid out on ZZ2-Bertie van Zyl (Pty) Ltd Farms in Politsi and Mooketsi, using three-year-



old 'Maluma Hass' and 'Hass', respectively. Mean monthly maximum and minimum temperatures at Politsi were 25.8°C and 14°C, respectively, whereas at Mooketsi the variables were 26.2°C and 14.4°C, respectively. Politsi was characterised by high annual rainfall (1103 mm), whereas the total precipitation received at Mooketsi was 608 mm. The soil was classified as clay in Politsi (clay 45%, sand 36% and slit 19%) and sandy loam in Mooketsi (71% sand, 24% clay and 4% slit).

Treatments consisted of three mulch types *viz.* mowed veld grass (grass), *Eucalyptus* wood chips (wood chips), pre-composted *Eucalyptus* wood chips (composted chips) (mixed with the same volume of cattle manure and composted for approximately two months) and an untreated control. The trial was laid out in a complete randomised design with three replications consisting of 15 trees per replication. Mulch was applied in a strip of approximately two meters wide with a thickness of 15 cm. The same standard avocado orchard management practices were observed for all treatments.

Soil samples were collected at 30 cm depth and sent to ZZZ-Laboratories, Polokwane, South Africa. Soil health indicators were assessed 12 months after treatment application using the Cornell Soil Health test model (Gugino *et al.*, 2009; Nzanza & Pieterse, 2011). The amount of ready available water (RAW) was measured with DFM continuous logging probes as previously described by Nzanza and Pieterse (2011).

Nematodes were extracted from 250 g soil by elutriation and centrifugation (Bulluck *et al.*, 2002). Nematodes were classified in four trophic groups (bacterivores, fungivores, omnivores and plant-parasitic nematodes), whereas the nematode community structure was characterised by calculating ecological indices such as free-living maturity index (MI), plant-parasitic index (PPI), channel index (CI) and enrichment index (EI) (Pan *et al.*, 2010).

Harvesting was done at industry standard fruit maturity. Fruit were pooled per treatment and sent

through the commercial pack line to determine fruit size distribution. Fruit samples were sent to Agrilab Tzaneen for fruit P, K, Ca, Mg and Zn contents.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) (2002-2003). Mean separation was achieved using Fisher's least significant difference test. Unless stated otherwise, treatments discussed were different at 5% level of probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Soil chemical indicators

Soil chemical indicators varied according to locations or mulch types (Table 1). Mulching had no significant effect on soil pH, soil P and soil Ca in Mooketsi, whereas in Politsi composted chips increased soil pH and soil P contents. In Politsi, grass reduced soil Ca content while in Mooketsi no significant differences were detected among treatments. Wood chips reduced soil Mg content in both sites. Incidentally, composted chips reduced soil Mg content in Mooketsi but increased it in Politsi. Similarly, composted chips increased soil K content in both locations. The increases in soil K and P were probably due to the higher nutrient content of composted chips.

### Soil biological indicators

In both locations biological indicators such as root health and potential mineralisable nitrogen (PMN) were not significantly influenced by mulching (Table 2). There was a slight increase in the carbon (C) and active carbon (AC) (an indicator of readily available soil organic matter as energy source for microbes) (Gugino *et al.*, 2009) in the plots that received composted chips on both locations; these differences were, however, not significant.

### Nematode community structure

Mulching tends to increase, although not significant, the number of nematodes in both sites (Table 3). The variation in the number of nematodes was more

**Table 1. Soil chemical indicators as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | pH    | Ca (mg/kg) | K (mg/kg) | Mg (mg/kg) | P (mg/kg) | Na (mg/kg) |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |       |            |           |            |           |            |
| Control               | 6.50a | 1031a      | 176b      | 358a       | 50.7a     | 57.3a      |
| Grass                 | 6.20a | 738a       | 188b      | 325ab      | 52.7a     | 52.3a      |
| Wood chips            | 6.20a | 757a       | 197b      | 266b       | 60.0a     | 50.3a      |
| Composted chips       | 6.30a | 934a       | 345a      | 277ab      | 68.7a     | 54.7a      |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |       |            |           |            |           |            |
| Control               | 5.40b | 844ab      | 168b      | 132b       | 12.0b     | 40.0a      |
| Grass                 | 5.20b | 596b       | 213b      | 138b       | 8.67b     | 37.7a      |
| Wood chips            | 5.30b | 881ab      | 176b      | 122b       | 5.67b     | 39.3a      |
| Composted chips       | 5.70a | 1017a      | 354a      | 183a       | 25.7a     | 36.3a      |

Means followed by the same letter in a column were not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

\* No significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test



pronounced in Politsi than in Mooketsi. There was a slight but not significant increase in the fungivores in Mooketsi and a slight decrease in the plant parasitic nematodes in both sites with mulching (Table 3). Generally, bacterivores are the most abundant trophic groups in agricultural soils (Wasilewska, 1979; Zheng *et al.*, 2011). Incidentally, bacterivores represented the most abundant nematode trophic groups in all treatments with a variation of 62% (control) and 76% (grass) in Mooketsi and 49% (wood chips) and 66% (composted wood chips) in Politsi.

Ecological indices are widely used to quantify the response of nematode community to environmental or management changes in soil (Pan *et al.*, 2010; Nzanza & Pieterse, 2012). Biederman *et al.* (2008) did not observe any significant changes in ecological indices of nematodes after soil organic amendment. In this trial, mulching also did not have a significant effect on maturity, enrichment, channel or plant parasitic indices of nematodes (Table 4). In Mooketsi, however, mulching had a positive effect on the channel index.

### Soil physical indicators and moisture content

Soil aggregate stability was not affected by mulching in both sites. Similarly, mulching had no effect on available water content in Politsi, whereas in Mooketsi mulch-treated plots had higher available water content than untreated control (Table 5). Results of this trial also confirmed our preliminary observations on the effect of mulching on soil moisture content. There were clear differences in soil moisture fluctuations between mulch-treated and control (data not shown). Generally, mulch treatment showed little soil moisture fluctuations, in comparison with the control treatment, suggesting that mulching created a more mesic environment underneath the tree (Nzanza & Pieterse, 2011).

### Yield, fruit size and chemical analysis

Although fruit chemical contents were not analysed statistically due to insufficient data, there was a trend of increasing Z, K and P fruit contents in both locations, except with wood chips treated plots in Mooketsi (Table 6). Mulching had no effect on fruit

**Table 2. Soil biological indicators as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | Root health | PMN ( $\mu\text{N/g/wk}$ ) | Carbon (%) | Active carbon (mg/kg) |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |             |                            |            |                       |
| Control               | 7.67a       | 0.83a                      | 0.48a      | 320ab                 |
| Grass                 | 4.00a       | 1.00a                      | 0.60a      | 295ab                 |
| Wood chips            | 6.33a       | 1.00a                      | 0.51a      | 213b                  |
| Composted chips       | 6.67a       | 1.23a                      | 0.51a      | 465a                  |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |             |                            |            |                       |
| Control               | 8.00a       | 2.83a                      | 1.24ab     | 675ab                 |
| Grass                 | 8.00a       | 3.03a                      | 1.06b      | 572ab                 |
| Wood chips            | 7.30a       | 2.07a                      | 1.16ab     | 468b                  |
| Composted chips       | 7.30a       | 3.00a                      | 1.55a      | 742a                  |

Means followed by the same letter in a column were not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

\* No significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

PMN: Potential mineralisable nitrogen

**Table 3. Number and trophic groups of nematodes as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | Total numbers | Bacterivores (%) | Fungivores (%) | Omnivores (%) | Plant-parasitic (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |               |                  |                |               |                     |
| Control               | 460a          | 62.0a            | 5.66a          | 1.00a         | 28.0a               |
| Grass                 | 473a          | 76.0a            | 8.66a          | 1.00a         | 13.3a               |
| Wood chips            | 560a          | 62.3a            | 11.7a          | 1.66a         | 23.7a               |
| Composted chips       | 560a          | 64.3a            | 11.7a          | 1.00a         | 22.0a               |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |               |                  |                |               |                     |
| Control               | 277a          | 50.7a            | 9.66a          | 0.00a         | 39.7a               |
| Grass                 | 453a          | 62.0a            | 3.66a          | 1.00a         | 32.0a               |
| Wood chips            | 240a          | 48.7a            | 15.3a          | 0.00a         | 34.3a               |
| Composted chips       | 547a          | 66.0a            | 5.30a          | 0.33a         | 26.0a               |

Means followed by the same letter in a column were not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

\* No significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test



size or fruit yield of avocado (data not shown). The non-responses of avocado fruit quality and yield to mulch application during the first year of this study are understandable. Many researchers only observed increased yield or fruit size after subsequent years of mulching (Wolstenholme *et al.*, 1998; Downer *et al.*, 1999; Dixon *et al.*, 2006; Mavuso, 2008).

## CONCLUSION

Preliminary results, 12 months after treatment application, showed that mulching had differential effects on most of the soil health indicators and varied according to mulch types or locations. The nematode community structure of avocado soils was not significantly influenced by mulching, although there were

**Table 4. Ecological indices of nematodes as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | Maturity index | Enrichment index | Channel index | Plant-parasitic index |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |                |                  |               |                       |
| Control               | 1.34a          | 93.0a            | 2.66a         | 2.33a                 |
| Grass                 | 1.51a          | 85.7a            | 6.00a         | 1.95a                 |
| Wood chips            | 1.38a          | 90.0a            | 6.33a         | 2.22a                 |
| Composted chips       | 1.41a          | 89.0a            | 6.33a         | 2.16a                 |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |                |                  |               |                       |
| Control               | 1.37a          | 90.3a            | 5.66a         | 2.20a                 |
| Grass                 | 1.23a          | 95.0a            | 1.33a         | 2.46a                 |
| Wood chips            | 1.34a          | 90.0a            | 8.33a         | 2.23a                 |
| Composted chips       | 1.31a          | 92.7a            | 3.66a         | 2.30a                 |

Means followed by the same letter in a column were not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

\* No significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

**Table 5. Soil physical indicators as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | Aggregate stability (%) | Avail water content (mm/m) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |                         |                            |
| Control               | 11.2a                   | 92.0b                      |
| Grass                 | 9.10a                   | 100a                       |
| Wood chips            | 10.5a                   | 100a                       |
| Composted chips       | 10.0a                   | 100a                       |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |                         |                            |
| Control               | 80.9a                   | 69.3a                      |
| Grass                 | 78.2a                   | 68.0a                      |
| Wood chips            | 76.1a                   | 69.7a                      |
| Composted chips       | 82.7a                   | 69.8a                      |

Means followed by the same letter in a column were not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

\* No significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD test

**Table 6. Fruit chemical content of avocado as influenced by different mulch types in commercial avocado orchards.**

| Mulch treatments      | P (%) | K (%) | Ca (%) | Mg (%) | Zn (%) |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| <b>Site: Mooketsi</b> |       |       |        |        |        |
| Control               | 0.17  | 3.03  | 0.12   | 0.17   | 22.0   |
| Grass                 | 0.23  | 3.47  | 0.04   | 0.20   | 29.0   |
| Wood chips            | 0.16  | 3.25  | 0.07   | 0.13   | 25.0   |
| Composted chips       | 0.21  | 3.37  | 0.08   | 0.17   | 28.0   |
| <b>Site: Politsi</b>  |       |       |        |        |        |
| Control               | 0.17  | 2.74  | 0.09   | 0.10   | 27.0   |
| Grass                 | 0.25  | 2.99  | 0.07   | 0.10   | 37.0   |
| Wood chips            | 0.26  | 2.72  | 0.09   | 0.11   | 35.0   |
| Composted chips       | 0.26  | 3.08  | 0.09   | 0.12   | 30.0   |



indications that mulching reduces the populations of plant parasitic nematodes. Findings of this study did not show any significant effect of mulching on yield or fruit size. Further investigations will look at changes in soil health characteristics, yield and fruit quality over times following mulching.

## REFERENCES

- BIEDERMAN, L.A., BOUTTON, T.W. & WHISENANT, S.G. 2008. Nematode community development early in ecological restoration: The role of organic amendments. *Soil biology and Biochemistry*, 40: 2366-2374.
- BROADBENT, P. & BAKER, K.F. 1974. Behaviour of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in soils suppressive and conducive to root rot. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 25: 121-137.
- BULLUCK, L.R., BARKER, K.R. & RISTAINO, J.B. 2002. Influences of organic and synthetic soil fertility amendments on nematode trophic groups and community dynamics under tomatoes. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 21: 233-250.
- DIXON, J., SMITH, D.B., GREENWOOD, A.C. & ELM-SLY, T.A. 2006. Putative timing of irreversible commitment to flowering of Hass avocado trees in the Western bay of Plenty. *New Zealand Avocado Growers' Association Annual Research Report*, 6: 13-20.
- DOWNER, J., MENGE, J.A., OHR, H.D., FABER, B.A., MCKEE, B.S., POND, E.C., CROWLEY, M.G. & CAMPBELL, S.D. 2001. The effect of yard trimmings as mulch on growth of avocado and avocado root rot caused by *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. *Yearbook California Avocado Society*, 83: 87-104.
- DOWNER, A.J. 1998. Control of avocado root rot and *Phytophthora cinnamoni* Rands in mulched soils. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of California.
- GUGINO, B.K., IDOWU, O.J., SCHINDELBECK, R.R., VAN ES, H.M., WOLFE, D.W., MOEBIUS-CLUNE, B.N., THIES, J.E. & ABAWI, G.S. 2009. Cornell Soil Health Assessment Training Manual. Cornell University, New York, USA.
- MAVUSO, Z. 2008. Effect of different mulch material as composts and organic treatments on tree condition and root health. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook*, 31: 32-35.
- MOORE-GORDON, C., WOLSTENHOLME, B.N. & LEVIN, J. 1996. Effect of composted pine bark mulching on *Persea Americana* Mill. Cv. Haas fruit growth and yield in a cool subtropical environment. *South African Journal of Horticultural Science*, 6: 23-34.
- NEHER, D.A. 2001. Role of nematodes in soil health and their use as indicators. *Journal of Nematology*, 33: 161-168.
- NZANZA, B. & PIETERSE, P. 2011. Soil health, fruit yield, quality and nutritional value of avocado as influenced by different mulch types: Preliminary results. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook*, 34: 75-78.
- NZANZA, B. & PIETERSE, P. 2012. Soil health and nematode community structure responses to different mulch types in avocado production. Paper presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> All Africa Horticultural Conference. Skukuza, South Africa.
- PAN, F., MCLAUGHLIN, N.B., YU, Q., XUE, A.C., XU, Y., HAN, X., LI, C. & ZHAO, D. 2010. Responses of soil nematode community structure to different long-term fertilizer strategies in the soybean phase of a soybean-wheat-corn rotation. *European Journal of Soil biology*, 46: 105-111.
- STEPHENSON, R.E. & SCHUSTER, C.E. 1945. Effect of mulches on soil properties. *Soil science*, 59: 219-230.
- WASILEWSKA, L. 1979. The structure and function of soil nematode communities in natural ecosystems and agrocenoses. *Polish Ecological Studies*, 5: 97-145.
- WOLSTENHOLME, B.N., MOORE-GORDON, C. & ANSERMINO, J.S.D. 1996. Some pros and cons of mulching in avocado orchards. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook*, 19: 87-91.
- WOLSTENHOLME, B.N., MOORE-GORDON, C. & LEVIN, J. 1998. Mulching of avocado orchards: Quo vadis. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook*, 21: 26-28.
- TURNEY, J. & MENGE, J. 1994. Root health: mulching to control root disease in avocado and citrus. *California Avocado Society*. Circular No. CAS-94/2.
- ZHENG, G.D., SHI, L.B., WU, H.Y. & PENG, D.L. 2011. Nematode communities in continuous tomato-cropping field soil infested by root-knot nematodes, *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*, Section B-Soil & Plant Science, DOI:10.1080/09064710.2011.598545.

