California Avocado Society 1985 Yearbook 69: 101-104

# Effect of Progressive Soil Salinity on the Leaf Water Potential and Stomatal Conductance in Avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.)

## S. Salazar-García and A. Larqué-Saavedra

Subtropical Fruit Researcher, CEICADAR, Colegio de Postgraduados. Apdo. Postal 1-12 Puebla, Pue. México; and Research Professor, Centro de Botánica, Colegio de Postgraduados, Chapingo, Edo. de México, 56230 México, respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

It is well known that avocado plants are sensitive to soil salinity (mainly chlorides) (5). Chloride toxitity is detected when leaf necrosis from tip and edges is clearly seen. This toxicity can damage the total leaf area. Long periods under this environmental stress can reduce or affect leaf area (1), transpiration rate (3), photosynthesis (7), growth (2), leaf senescence (3 and 4), prevention of flowering (3), etc. of the plants.

Harvest is therefore reduced (2) although form and fruit size are not clearly affected (3).

A greater oil content of the fruit has been reported (3).

Physiological responses of avocado plants to salinity are diverse, depending upon the genetic material and experimental conditions (2, 6, and 7). The present report shows results for Mexican avocado cultivara on the effect of soil salinity on the leaf water potential ( $\psi$ w) and stomatal conductance (g).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen months old avocado seedlings of the Mexican race (Franco) and plants of the cv Fuerte of twelve month old grafted on the Mexican race rootstock were utilized. The plants were cultivated in black polyethylene bags with 71 of sandy soil under partially shaded nursery conditions until two months prior to the beginning of the present experiment, then transferred to a greenhouse.

Two treatments for each cultivar were established with three replicates per treatment in a totally randomized arrangement.

Solutions containing 233, 700, or 1400 ppm of chlorides (Cl<sup>-</sup>) as potassium chloride (KCl) in tap water (containing the following levels in meq  $1^{-1}$ ,  $CO_3^{=} = 0.4$ ;  $HCO_3^{-} = 2.8$ ;  $SO_4^{=} = 0.002$ ;  $Ca^{++} = 0.8$ ;  $Mg^{++} = 1.32$ ;  $Na^{+} = 0.9$ ) were prepared.

The pots were irrigated twice a week, applying nine times the solution with 233 ppm Cl<sup>-</sup>, then five times the 700 ppm, and finally five times with 1400 ppm.

Mature leaves from the middle of the plants were harvested at 11:00 h for total water potential ( $\psi$ w). Three replicate samples were utilized in each occasion. The  $\psi$ w was measured using a pressure chamber after covering the leaf with a plastic bag.

Stomatal conductance was determined in the fifth leaf from the abaxial side using porometer MK-II (Delta-T Devices, U.K.).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Leaf water potential [ww]

The  $\psi$ w of both cultivars without salinity treatments is different as can be seen in Fig. 1. Fuerte possess values of high  $\psi$ w while Franco remains with low  $\psi$ w. As chloride is applied to the plants Fuerte remains with high  $\psi$ w in spite of the progressive salinity. Moreover, such  $\psi$ w is even higher than the non-treated plants. Similarly, Franco had a higher  $\psi$ w under stress than in non-stress conditions.

#### Stomatal conductance [g]

Both cultivara showed a similar stomatal conductance along the day under non-stress conditions (Figs. 2 and 3), although Franco tends to have a lower stomatal conductance than Fuerte. When a 700 ppm of chloride solution was irrigated, the stomatal conductance was reduced almost half of the control treatment. Similarly when a 1400 ppm of chloride solution was applied, the g was reduced. Both salinity treatments affect g, which is a usual stomatal behaviour for plants under stress.

The obtained results showed no difference in stomatal behaviour under any of the treatments. Both cultivara showed differences were more relevant for the  $\psi$ w. Moreover the salinity increased  $\psi$ w of the plants.



Figure 1. Leaf water potential  $(\Psi w)$  values from avocado plants determined at different time intervals. Plants under progressive soil salinity stress ( $\bullet$ ) and water control ( $\bullet$ ). Each point is the mean value of three plants.



Figure 2. Stomatal conductance (g) of Persea americana grown under salt stress (700 ppm Cl<sup>-</sup>)(•-----•)or non-stress (o-----o) conditions. Each point is the mean value of three plants.

Figure 3. Stomatal conductance (g) of Persea americana grown under salt stress (1400 ppm Cl') ( ) or non-stress ( ) conditions. Each point is the mean value of three plants.



#### References

Ayers, A. D., D. G. Aldrich, and J. J. Coony. 1951. Sodium and chloride injury of Fuerte avocado leaves. Calif. Avocado Soc. Yearbook 36: 174-178.

Bingham, F. T., and L. B. Fenn. 1966. Chloride injury to Hass avocado trees: A sandculture experiment. Calif. Avocado Soc. Yearbook 50: 9 9-106.

-----, L. B. Fenn, and J. J. Oertli. 1968. A sandculture study of chloride toxicity to mature avocado trees. Proc. Amer. Soc. Soil Sci. 32(2): 249-252.

Fenn, L.B., F.T. Bingham, and J.J.Oertli. 1968. On the mechanism of chloride toxicity. Calif. Avocado Soc. Yearbook 52: 113-116.

Haas, A. R. C. 1929. Composition of avocado trees in relation to chlorosis and tip burn. Bot. Gaz. 87: 422-430.

Kadman, A., and C. Oppenheimer. 1964. The influence of transpiration intensity on intake and transport of chlorine and sodium by avocado seedlings. The Volcani Inst. of Agric. Res., Dep. of Horticulture. Rehovot, Israel. Spec. Bull. No. 80. 30 p.

Patel, P. M., A. Wallace, and R. T. Mueller. 1975-76. Salt tolerance of Huntalas compared with other avocado rootstocks. Calif. Avocado Soc. Yearbook. 59: 78-81.